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How we prevent and manage demand for expensive educational, social care and criminal justice services in Northamptonshire
Key Points of the Strategy

- There is evidence that prevention services can help more vulnerable people with less resource and give better outcomes
- Working with local communities
- Many of the priorities for customers and families were similar; much easier access to local support with just one place to go for help and agencies sharing information to avoid multiple assessments
- Customers wanted access to specialists when they are needed

Key Points of the Strategy

- Providers felt there were opportunities from working together but feared loss of choice, specialist services and knowledge and skills
- A hub offers the opportunities for working together and bringing services together in one location
- More people should be able to get the help they need from services in their local area
- This could cut demand for specialist services and create opportunities for employment and volunteering
Summary of Recommendations to Cabinet - November 2011

- Extend Consultation for a further 3 months
- Agree and support the development of area based/locality model(s)
- Authorise interim contract extensions or variations
- Endorse the proposed reductions
- Approve the Draft Prevention and Demand Strategy for the County 2012 – 2016 for consultation.
- Endorse a Fair and Equitable Funding methodology

http://www.northamptonshire.gov.uk/prevention

---

List of the reductions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Areas</th>
<th>Saving</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing Support Subsidy Block Contract Negotiations</td>
<td>£982,000</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renegotiation of Mental Health Services</td>
<td>£181,000</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention Younger Adults</td>
<td>£64,000*</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency breaks service for carers and sitting services</td>
<td>£400,000*</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention Older People</td>
<td>£268,000*</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connexions remodel to meet new statutory requirements</td>
<td>£700,000</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Small Grants</td>
<td>£200,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce Children’s Centre Budget</td>
<td>£500,000</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove PCSO Funding</td>
<td>£500,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove Positive Activities Funding</td>
<td>£305,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>£4,100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fair and Equitable Funding Methodology to allocate prevention funding throughout Northamptonshire
The Model

For each Lower Output Area in the County

Data Sets

Sets of Criteria

Rankings for each area 1–407

Fed into a Domain Index

Fair and Equitable Funding allocated by area

Domains / Themes

Early Years / Children’s Centres

Deprivation

Young People

Community Safety

Adults - Vulnerable and Older People

Communities

Access - Rural

Data sets for these Domains, see updated Fair and Equitable Funding Model Domains and Datasets document.
The information from each dataset will contribute to the ranking for that Lower Level Super Output Area. With rank 407 being the most in need and 1 being the least.

An area will have a ranking for each Domain/Theme, (Similar to the IMD Domains)

For Example: Daventry 008D - E01027013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Deprivation</th>
<th>Access to Facilities</th>
<th>Communities</th>
<th>Community Safety</th>
<th>Adults</th>
<th>Young People</th>
<th>Early Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daventry 008D -</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example of Allocation of Funding per person

\[ (D) + (AF) + (C) + (CS) + (VAOP) + (YP) + (EVCC) = \text{Unique LSOA Figure} \]

This figure is created for each of the 407 Lower super output areas.
Total Funding

All 407 of the Unique LSOA Figure = Countywide Unit amount

Countywide Unit amount × Unique LSOA Figure = Each area's Funding

Each area's Funding = Funding per person within that area

That area's population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding amount</th>
<th>£ 20,000,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Borough/District Allocation</td>
<td>Percentage of total funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corby</td>
<td>£ 1,975,072.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daventry</td>
<td>£ 1,704,509.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Northamptonshire</td>
<td>£ 2,696,978.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kettering</td>
<td>£ 2,684,099.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northampton</td>
<td>£ 7,612,718.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Northamptonshire</td>
<td>£ 1,536,230.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellingborough</td>
<td>£ 2,446,802.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mapping

There are several places in the country where Lower Super Output areas do not map directly into county council electoral divisions. Where this occurs we have mapped these areas to get a clearer picture of the area and then used postcode information to allocate how much of the population falls into which divisions.

We have also mapped the rankings for each domain, for each District/Borough to assist with decision making process when deciding which services are appropriate for the needs of an area.

We have used mapping to assist with decisions about repetition within datasets. To retain the simplicity of the model, where many data sets tell the same story, we have only used the most comprehensive and sustainable set.

Still to happen...

There are currently datasets feeding into the model that will be updated or added when the 2011 Census data is available.

These are:

- **Population data**: Recent ONS Estimates that will be replaced by Census data once released.
- **% households with residents with a limiting long-term illness**: Census 2001 data, to be replaced by 2011 data once released.
- **Carers Information**: Census 2011 data to be added to the model when it is released.

We are working to ensure these datasets have been updated before the model is in use.
Area Working

Individual sign-off mechanisms

Individual sign-off mechanisms

Individual sign-off mechanisms

Locality Engagement Mechanism

Officer Level

NCC

Borough/District

Health Commissioners

Proposed Timescales

- May 2012 - Cabinet Paper
  » Funding Methodology
  » Locality Working Framework
  » High Level Commissioning intentions
  » Strategy for adoption
  » Delegated authority for detailed commissioning intentions

- Sept 2012 - Publication of detailed commissioning intentions