

Full Planning Committee - 11 February 2020

Agenda Update

5.1 **KET/2019/0262**

Unit 3B, Woodside, Stoke Albany Road, Desborough

No update.

5.2 **KET/2019/0758**

13 Long Breech, Mawsley

No update.

5.3 **KET/2019/0759**

13 Long Breech, Mawsley

Paragraph 8.4 - current recommendation states a condition restricting garage for parking only. This should be updated to reflect the wording of amended condition 2 and include 'or the storage of domestic possessions incidental to the residential use of the main dwellinghouse'

Paragraph 8.2, sub paragraph 6 - remove reference to 'cupboards' as some cupboards may be allowed to remain at Council's discretion. This is addressed through reference to 'etc'.

Condition 1 and 2 to be amalgamated to read as follows 'Condition 1. 'The office / garage building hereby approved shall only be used by persons living at 13 Long Breech, Mawsley, NN14 1TR (as their principal address) for the purpose of home office which is incidental to residential occupation of the main house and parking of their own domestic vehicles or the storage of domestic possessions incidental to residential use of the main dwellinghouse. At no time whatsoever shall the office./ garage building be used or occupied by visiting members of the public.'

Update the reason for amended Condition 1 to read 'In order to prevent the establishment of a commercial use with a different character and use that would have a significant adverse impact on neighbouring amenity contrary to Policy 8 of the North Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy.'

Re-number Condition 3 as Condition 2 and amend its 'Reason' by removing the word 'alternative' from the first line before the words 'commercial use'.

Full Planning Committee - 11 February 2020

Agenda Update

5.4 **KET/2019/0786**

1 Kettering Road, Burton Latimer

No update.

5.5 **KET/2019/0866**

23 Lewis Road, Kettering

The owner of 46 Lewis Road has reaffirmed their belief that 'bylaws' exist that prevent the provision of windows at the development site facing 46 Lewis Road and that this matter has not been addressed in the committee report.

Officer Comment: The original ground for this objection was discussed at section 4 in the Committee Item and its merits were considered at section 8.6 where it was stated that 'although no evidence has been provided to that effect, [it] is not a material planning consideration'. As such this matter was taken into account and was held not to have any weight in consideration of the proposal and is a civil matter relating to restrictions that may be in place on the land.

Consequently, this representation does not introduce new matters that would result in a departure from the recommendations laid out in the Committee papers.