

## Appendix 2t - Infrastructure

Comment	KBC Response
<p><b>Id 19</b> (Neither) - The area feeding to and from A14 J7 - J10 and A43 and A509 is becoming increasingly congested with the introduction of considerable levels of residential and commercial development. Traffic management in the area is becoming inadequate and is leading to rat-runs through villages in an attempt to avoid congested areas. This is in urgent need of review as unclassified rural roads are not designed to cope with the volumes of traffic they are experiencing. Narrow lanes, poor visibility and badly maintained surfaces are leading to increased levels of accidents.</p>	<p>Noted. Schemes are identified in the North Northamptonshire Delivery Plan for the A509 (Isham Bypass) and A43 (Northampton to Kettering Dualling).</p>
<p><b>Id 386</b> (Supporting) - <b>Question 6: Are there any infrastructure requirements which should be included in the SSP2?</b></p> <p>Natural England supports that 'district' GI corridors will improve access to GI across the Borough. Natural England also supports that SSP2 will identify locations and projects where delivery and implementation of new GI or GI enhancements will be focused on identifying Borough GI assets to supplement GI corridors identified in the NNJCS. Therefore, Natural England are in support of policies ENV01 and ENV03.</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p><b>Id 200</b> (Neither) - Northamptonshire Highways will continue to work closely with Kettering Borough Council to identify site specific infrastructure required to deliver development as identified in the SSP2.</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p><b>Id 263</b> (Neither) - <b>Comments made on behalf of Desborough Town Council</b></p> <p>Question 6 - Yes, the need to build the Link Road first at Rothwell North in order to alleviate the obvious construction traffic increases that will flow through the narrow Harrington Road from the A14 to the west and also through Desborough when approaching from the North.</p> <p>And any new developments that come forward need to have the infrastructure put in place first of schools, medical facilities, transport links and social provision in the ever expanding towns of Desborough and Rothwell.</p>	<p>Noted. Rothwell North is a strategic allocation; requirements relating to this scheme are set out in Policy 38 of the JCS.</p>
<p><b>Id 483</b> (Neither) - <b>Q6. Are there any infrastructure requirements which should be in the SSP2?</b></p> <p>Infrastructure requirements are set out in the NNJCS and therefore repetition is not needed. However if any site specific infrastructure is identified as necessary then such requirements could be separately set out within the site specific policies of each individual allocation.</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p><b>Id 295</b> (Objecting) - Chapter 13 deals with infrastructure and seeks feedback on whether additional infrastructure is required. As set out below, it is considered that the expansion of Burton Latimer Medical</p>	<p>Impacts of the development at Higham</p>

## Appendix 2t - Infrastructure

Comment	KBC Response
<p>Centre should be identified as an infrastructure project in Draft SSKLP, in conjunction with development at land south of Higham Road.</p> <p>The North Northamptonshire Infrastructure Delivery Plan (updated September 2017) identifies the key infrastructure projects required to deliver planned growth in the adopted North Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy, and sets out the funding required, sources of funding and timescales for delivery of those projects. It is noted that no key items of infrastructure are identified for Burton Latimer; with the exception of changes to existing greenspace and a requirement for additional green infrastructure in south Burton Latimer. Paragraph 162 of the NPPF 2012 expects local planning authorities to assess the quality and capacity of a range of types of infrastructure [Paragraph 20 of NPPF2 2018 expects sufficient provision to be made for community facilities including health facilities]. Burton Latimer Medical Centre is now fully occupied, and there is no internal space available for expansion and no capacity to expand into the car park without the loss of parking spaces. The recent permitted residential developments to the north of Higham Road will create additional pressure on the capacity at the Medical Centre in the near future. It is considered that additional land is required to enable the Medical Centre to expand.</p> <p>The proposed residential development at land south of Higham Road in Burton Latimer could provide land to enable the capacity of Burton Latimer Medical Centre to increase. An area of 0.6 Ha is provided within the proposed development to accommodate the expansion of the Medical Centre; the expansion to the Medical Centre would be designed and delivered by the NHS. It is anticipated that an additional four consulting rooms could be provided within the expanded building, the existing pharmacy could be relocated within the Medical Centre, and an additional 44 car parking spaces could be provided at the site.</p> <p><u>Requested Change</u></p> <p>It is requested that the expansion of Burton Latimer Medical Centre is identified as an infrastructure project in Draft SSKLP, and that land to the south of Higham Road in Burton Latimer is allocated for that purpose in conjunction with residential development at the site.</p>	<p>Road on the Medical Centre would have been considered through the planning application process.</p> <p>Sufficient site have been identified to meet housing requirements in Burton Latimer in the plan period in accordance with the Spatial Strategy.</p> <p>Comments relating to the capacity of the medical centre are noted, this will be discussed further with health providers.</p>
<p><b>Id 496 (Supporting) - <u>13 Infrastructure</u></b></p> <p>It is acknowledged at paragraph 13.2 that the JCS is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan. Paragraph 13.3 states that "<i>site specific infrastructure requirements which are needed to deliver</i></p>	<p>Noted</p>

## Appendix 2t - Infrastructure

Comment	KBC Response
<p><i>development at a local level will be identified in the SSP2... The Council will continue to work with partners to identify infrastructure requirements."</i></p> <p><b>Support</b> is given to this overarching approach to infrastructure delivery.</p>	
<p><b>Id 351</b> (Neither) - We note that the North Northamptonshire Core Strategy already includes a borough wide policy relating to water supply, water quality and wastewater infrastructure (Policy 5).Therefore it is not considered necessary to include a similar policy in the Part 2 Local Plan.</p> <p>However it would be helpful to consider whether there any specific development criteria for the proposed allocation sites which are needed relating to water and water recycling infrastructure.</p> <p>Consideration should also be given to ensuring that reference is made Anglian Water's existing water and water recycling assets where these are located within the boundary of an allocation site so that we can ensure that access is safeguarded for maintenance (where it isn't already included in SSP2).</p>	<p>Noted. The site allocation policies seek to specify requirements relating to water and water recycling infrastructure and seek to ensure that access to water and water recycling assets is maintained.</p>
<p><b>Id 555</b> (Neither) - Gladman remind the Council that infrastructure requirements are set out in the NNJCS and therefore would consider any repetition in the SSP2 unnecessary. It Is however reasonable for specific allocation policies within the SSP2 to set out site specific infrastructure requirements.</p>	<p>Noted</p>