

New Key Performance Information Booklet

Issue 77 July 2018



Need Further Information?

For further information on the contents of this performance booklet please contact Guy Holloway on 01536 534 243.

Members of the Monitoring & Audit Committee:

If you want to go into further detail on any of the areas contained within the performance booklet at the Monitoring and Audit Committee, please contact either David Pope on 01536 535 661 or Anne Ireson on 01536 534 398 no less than 3 working days in advance of the meeting.

Contents

	Page No.
Financial Information	1
Performance Information	2
Housing Rent Arrears	3
Staff Sickness Summary	4
Complaints and Compliments	7
Summary of Internal Audit Reports	10
Kettering Training Services - Performance Update	14
Agency Staffing Summary by Service Area	15
Questions and Amendments	16


For the latest Financial Information please refer to the Executive Report dated 11th May 2018, entitled 'Maintaining a Durable Budget'.

The report can be found online at www.kettering.gov.uk

Performance Update


Mining Growth Solution in consignation of processed in 3 weeks 650 NA ANNALA	PI Ref.	Description of PI	17/18 Outturn	Top Quartile	May 2017/18	May 2018/19	Volume	2018/19 Profiled Target	2018/19 Target	2019/20 Target
N1 155 Number of affordable homes delivered 208 NA 31 19 228 228 N1 157 Panning major applications processed in 13 weeks 95.65% 89.00% 100.00% 87.50% 7/8 90% 90.0%									_	
N 1572 Parning major applications processed in 13 weeks 96.45% 80.00% 100.00% 87.50% 77.8 90% 90% N 1575 Parning major applications processed in 8 weeks 96.65% 87.00% 96.77% 100.00% 81/81 90% 95% 90.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0%	NI 154	Net additional homes provided			-	•				
N 157b Panning minor applications processed in 8 weeks 96.64% 87.00% 96.77% 100.00% 30:30 95% 95% N 157c Panning minor applications processed in 8 weeks 99.05% 94.00% 96.61% 100.00% 81/81 30%	NI 155	Number of affordable homes delivered	208	N/A					228	
N 157c Panning other applications processed in 8 weeks 98.05% 94.0% 96.91% 100.0% 81/81 95% 95% 30% LP1 204 % of appeals against authorfy's decision to refuse planning applications 42.1% 28.7% 100.0% 0.0% 0/4 30% 30% MP1 26 Percentage of calls answ ered within 15 seconds by switchboard 89.82% NA 77.55% 97.11% 90.0% </td <td>NI 157a</td> <td></td> <td>95.45%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>87.50%</td> <td>7/8</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	NI 157a		95.45%			87.50%	7/8			
LP1 204 % of appeals against author/ty's decision to refuse planning applications 42.1% 28.7% 100.0% 0.0% 0.4 30% 30% Efficient and Effective Service Delivery 90.0%	NI 157b		96.64%			100.00%	30/30			
Efficient and Effective Service Delivery 90.0%<	NI 157c		98.05%	94.00%	96.91%	100.00%	81/81			
MP125 Percentage of calls answered by switchboard 89.82% NA 77.55% 97.11% 90.0% 91.0% 92.63 30917/3245 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 97.6% 97.6% 97.6% 97.6% 97.6% 97.6% 97.6% 97.6% 97.6% 97.6% 98.6% 13.3% 8.33 2.05 1.85 1.33% 8.6% 67.2% 76.6% 76.6% 70.% 76.6% 70.% 76.5	LPI 204	% of appeals against authority's decision to refuse planning applications	42.1%	26.7%	100.0%	0.0%	0/4		30%	30%
MP126 Percentage of calls answered within 15 seconds by switchboard 87.64% NA 79.85% 83.70% 90.0% 90.0% 90.0% 90.0% 90.0% 90.0% 90.0% 90.0% 90.0% 90.0% 90.0% 91.00 21.00	Efficient ar	nd Effective Service Delivery								
LP1 78a Average time to process new benefits claims (days) 24.63 21.2 22.42 26.03 9866/379 21.00 21.00 21.00 21.00 21.00 LP1 78b Average time to process schange in circumstances (days) 5.93 7 8.18 9.53 30917/3245 12.00 12.00 12.00 Enhanced Local Government 95.10% 97.01% 99.10% 96.9% 3146/3247 99% 99% 99% LP1 9 % Council Tax collected 97.84% 98.5% 21.03% 20.88% 21.20% 97.50% 97.50% 97.50% 97.50% 99% 99% 99% 86.7% 21.79% 99.5% 13.33% 8.33 2.05 1.85 1.33% 8 85% 1.33% 8	MPI 25	Percentage of calls answ ered by switchboard	89.82%	N/A	77.55%	97. 11%			90.0%	90.0%
LP178b Average time to process change in circumstances (days) 5.93 7 8.18 9.53 30917/3245 12.00 12.00 Enhanced Local Covernment U U 99.10% 99.10% 96.5% 3146/3247 99% 99% 99% LP1 9 % Council Tax collected 97.8% 98.5% 21.03% 20.88% 21.20% 97.50% <td>MPI 26</td> <td>Percentage of calls answ ered within 15 seconds by switchboard</td> <td>87.64%</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>79.85%</td> <td>83.70%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>90.0%</td> <td>90.0%</td>	MPI 26	Percentage of calls answ ered within 15 seconds by switchboard	87.64%	N/A	79.85%	83.70%			90.0%	90.0%
Enhanced Local Government MPI 8 % Invoices paid on time 95.10% 97.01% 99.10% 96.9% 3146/3247 99% 99% 99% LPI 9 % Council Tax collected 97.84% 98.5% 21.03% 20.88% 21.20% 97.50% 98.53% 13.38 8.33 2.05 1.85 1.33% 8 <	LPI 78a	Average time to process new benefits claims (days)	24.63	21.2	22.42	26.03	9866/379		21.00	21.00
MP18 % Invoices paid on time 95.10% 97.01% 99.10% 96.9% 3146/3247 99% 99% LP19 % Council Tax collected 97.84% 98.5% 21.03% 20.88% 21.20% 97.50% 98.50% 18.55 18.55 18.56 1.33% 8.33 2.05 18.55 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 92.0% 35% 66.85% 70% 70% 92.0% 35% 52.05% 43.18% 52.05% 43.3% (Apr) 52.5% 52% 52% 52% 52% 52% 52% 52% 52% 52% 52% 52% 52% 52	LPI 78b	Average time to process change in circumstances (days)	5.93	7	8.18	9.53	30917/3245		12.00	12.00
IP 9 % Council Tax collected 97.84% 98.5% 21.03% 20.88% 21.20% 97.50% 97.50% 97.50% 97.50% 97.50% 97.50% 97.50% 97.50% 99.39% 99.38% 19.24% 22.62% 21.79% 99.6% 99.6% 19.24% 22.62% 1.35 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 98.50% 98.63% 97.40% 97.58% 98.65% 98.63% 97.40% 97.58% 98.65% 98.65% 98.65% 98.65% 98.65% 98.65% 98.65% 98.65% 98.65% 98.65% 98.65% 98.65% 98.65% 98.65% 98.65% 98.65% 98.55% <	Enhanced	Local Government								
LP1 10 % NNDR collected 99.39% 99.36% 19.24% 22.62% 21.79% 99% 99% LP1 12 Days staffing lost (per member of staff) 13.38 8.33 2.05 1.85 1.33% 8 8 LP1 66a Proportion of rent collected 98.72% 98.63% 97.40% 97.58% 66.85% 70% 70% 99.50% 99.50% 1.33% 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 98.50% 99.50% 88.56% 70% 74.5% 97.1% NA** 97.	MPI 8	% Invoices paid on time	95.10%	97.01%	99.10%	96.9%	3146/3247		99%	99%
LPI 12 Days staffing lost (per member of staff) 13.38 8.33 2.05 1.85 LPI 66a Proportion of rent collected 98.72% 98.63% 97.40% 97.58% 66.85% 70% 98.50% 98.50% LPI 79b(i) Overpaid benefit recovered as % of current year overpayments 74.52% 82.4% 91.13% 84.67% 66.85% 70% 70% Querpaid benefit recovered as % of total overpayments outstanding 28.82% 36.8% 6.72% 7.42% 92.0% 35% 35% Greener environment 50.52% 43.18% 52.05% 48.3% (Apr) 52.05 52% 52% 52% 52% I 1.02 Percentage of sites classed as acceptable (combined litter and detritus) 97.1% NA** NA** 97.16% NA** NA** <td>LPI 9</td> <td>% Council Tax collected</td> <td>97.84%</td> <td>98.5%</td> <td>21.03%</td> <td>20.88%</td> <td></td> <td>21.20%</td> <td>97.50%</td> <td>97.50%</td>	LPI 9	% Council Tax collected	97.84%	98.5%	21.03%	20.88%		21.20%	97.50%	97.50%
LPI 66a Proportion of rent collected 98.72% 98.63% 97.40% 97.58% 98.63% 97.40% 97.58% 66.85% 70% 70% LPI 79b(i) Overpaid benefit recovered as % of current year overpayments 74.52% 82.4% 91.13% 84.67% 92.0% 35% 35% LPI 79b(ii) Overpaid benefit recovered as % of total overpayments outstanding 28.82% 36.8% 6.72% 7.42% 92.0% 35% 35% Greener environment 50.52% 43.18% 52.05% 48.3% (Apr) 52%<	LPI 10	% NNDR collected	99.39%	99.36%	19.24%	22.62%		21.79%	99%	99%
LPI 73b(i) Overpaid benefit recovered as % of current year overpayments 74.52% 82.4% 91.13% 84.67% 9.20% 35% 35% LPI 73b(ii) Overpaid benefit recovered as % of total overpayments outstanding 28.82% 36.8% 6.72% 7.42% 9.20% 35% 35% Greener environment N192 % of household waste recycled and composted 50.52% 43.18% 52.05% 48.3% (Apr) 52% 52% 52% Cleaner environment Viewentage of sites classed as acceptable (combined litter and detritus) 97.1% N/A** N/A** 97.16% N/A** N/A** <td< td=""><td>LPI 12</td><td>Days staffing lost (per member of staff)</td><td>13.38</td><td>8.33</td><td>2.05</td><td>1.85</td><td></td><td>1.33%</td><td>8</td><td>8</td></td<>	LPI 12	Days staffing lost (per member of staff)	13.38	8.33	2.05	1.85		1.33%	8	8
LPI 79b(ii) Overpaid benefit recovered as % of total overpayments outstanding 28.82% 36.8% 6.72% 7.42% 9.20% 35% 35% Greener environment N192 % of household waste recycled and composted 50.52% 43.18% 52.05% 48.3% (Apr) 52% 52% 52% Cleaner environment Pi L02 Percentage of sites classed as acceptable (combined litter and detritus) 97.1% NA**	LPI 66a	Proportion of rent collected	98.72%	98.63%	97.40%	97.58%			98.50%	98.50%
Greener environment N 192 % of household waste recycled and composted 50.52% 43.18% 52.05% 48.3% (Apr) 52% 52% Cleaner environment PI L02 Percentage of sites classed as acceptable (combined litter and detritus) 97.1% NA** NA** 97.16% NA** NA*	LPI 79b(i)	Overpaid benefit recovered as % of current year overpayments	74.52%	82.4%	91.13%	84.67%		66.85%	70%	70%
N1 192 % of household waste recycled and composted 50.52% 43.18% 52.05% 48.3% (Apr) 52% 52% 52% Cleaner environment Pircentage of sites classed as acceptable (combined litter and detritus) 97.1% N/A** N/A** 97.16% N/A** N/A	LPI 79b(ii)	Overpaid benefit recovered as % of total overpayments outstanding	28.82%	36.8%	6.72%	7.42%		9.20%	35%	35%
Cleaner environment PI L02 Percentage of sites classed as acceptable (combined litter and detritus) 97.1% N/A** N/A** 97.16% PI L04 Percentage of sites classed as acceptable (litter) 99.6% N/A** N/A** 100.00% N/A** N/A** <t< td=""><td>Greener e</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Greener e									
PI L02 Percentage of sites classed as acceptable (combined litter and detritus) 97.1% N/A*** N/A*** 97.16% N/A***	NI 192	% of household waste recycled and composted	50.52%	43.18%	52.05%	48.3% (Apr)			52%	52%
PI L04 Percentage of sites classed as acceptable (litter) 99.6% NA** NA** 100.00% NA** NA*	Cleaner er	vironment								
PI Lot Percentage of sites classed as grade A (fly-tipping) 98.7% NA** NA** 98.58% NA** NA	PI L02	Percentage of sites classed as acceptable (combined litter and detritus)	97.1%	N/A**	N/A**	97.16%			N/A**	N/A**
PI LO2 Percentage of sites classed as acceptable (grounds maintenance) 97.8% NA** NA** 100.00% NA**	PI L04	Percentage of sites classed as acceptable (litter)	99.6%	N/A**	N/A**	100.00%			N/A**	N/A**
NOTES Target met or bettered Target missed	PI L05	Percentage of sites classed as grade A (fly-tipping)	98.7%	N/A**	N/A**	98.58%			N/A**	N/A**
These indicators do not have profiled targets or volume information provided Target missed Target missed Image: mi	PI L02	Percentage of sites classed as acceptable (grounds maintenance)	97.8%	N/A**	N/A**	100.00%			N/A**	N/A**
Descriptions of the figures listed in the 'Volume' column have been added to the Questions and Amendment log Please note due to the lead times for committee information the data may not be the latest available * Estimate based on current requirements and increased commencements at sites Close to target or cannot compare to target Close to target or cannot compare to targe	NOTES				KEY		Target met or be	ttered		
Please note due to the lead times for committee information the data may not be the latest available Image: Committee information the data may not be the latest available * Estimate based on current requirements and increased commencements at sites Image: Committee information the data may not be the latest available		These indicators do not have profiled targets or volume information provid	led				Target missed			
* Estimate based on current requirements and increased commencements at sites	Descriptions	of the figures listed in the 'Volume' column have been added to the Questi	ons and Amen	dment log			Close to target c	or cannot com	pare to targe	
	Please not	e due to the lead times for committee information the data may n	ot be the late	est available						
	* Estimate ba	ased on current requirements and increased commencements at sites								
** The cleaner environment Pls have changed from the previous Keep Britain Tidy indicators to new APSE for 2017, which means there is no available historical data			ators to new A	APSE for 2017,	w hich means th	nere is no availab	le historical data			

Housing Rent Arrears Graphs




Page 3

Staff Sickness Summary



Comparison of Sickness/Absence 2017/18 & 2018/19

No of days per FTE 2018/19 No of days per FTE 2017/18 Target for year



Comparison of Sickness/Absence Number of days lost each month - 17/18 & 18/19 Total days lost per month 2018/19

Total days lost per month 2017/18

LPI 12 | FTE Days Lost Due to Sickness Absence

FTE Days Lost To Date 2018 TO 2019

Current month: 2

	Service Unit	FTE April 18	Apr-18	May-18	Jun-18	Jul-18	Aug-18	Sep-18	Oct-18	Nov-18	Dec-18	Jan-19	Feb-19	Mar-19	Totals YTD	WDL per F.T.E	Annualised
	Corporate & Cultural Services	32.00	24.00	30.49											54.49	1.70	10.22
	Customer Services	93.00	87.64	50.28											137.92	1.48	8.90
	Democratic & Legal Services	17.00	26.41	22.00											48.41	2.85	17.08
τ	Development Services	48.00	30.92	8.00											38.92	0.81	4.86
a	Environmental Care Housing	113.00	126.00	125.00											251.00	2.22	13.33
ge	Housing	135.00	213.77	156.23											370.00	2.74	16.44
S	Public Services	44.00	21.00	22.00											43.00	0.98	5.86
	Resources	20.00	0.54	0.00											0.54	0.03	0.16
	SMT Support	6.00	0.00	0.00											0.00	0.00	0.00
	Strategic Management Team	3.00	1.50	0.00											1.50	0.50	3.00
	Total WDL to date:	511.00	531.77	414.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	945.77		
	Self-certified	sickness:	89.32	68.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	157.42		
	Medically-certified	sickness:	442.45	345.89	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	788.35		


Summary results:	•										
Kettering Borough Council											
	1.85	Days lost per FTE to date									
-	11.10	Total Annualised									
of which	1.85 (17%)	days are Self Certificated									
and	9.26 (83%)	days are Certified									
	8.00	TARGET									



FTE Days Lost Due to Sickness Absence - % age split between medically certificated & self certificated


Service Unit	Apr-18	%	%	May-18	%	%	Jun-18	%	%	Jul-18	%	%	Aug-18	%	%	Sep-18	%	%	Cum	% age	% age
	total days	med cert	self cert	total days	med cert	self cert	total days	med cert	self cert	total days	med cert	self cert	total days	med cert	self cert	total days	med cert	self cert	total	Med Cert	Self Cert
Corporate & Cultural Services	24.00	87.5%	12.5%	30.49	89%	11%	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	54.49	88%	12%									
Customer Services	87.64	81.1%	18.9%	50.28	80%	20%	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	137.92	81%	19%									
Democratic & Legal Services	26.41	100.0%	0.0%	22.00	100%	0%	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	48.41	100%	0%									
Development Services	30.92	84.1%	15.9%	8.00	0%	100%	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	38.92	67%	33%									
Environmental Care	126.00	67.5%	32.5%	125.00	72%	28%	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	251.00	70%	30%									
Housing	213.77	89.8%	10.2%	156.23	93%	7%	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	370.00	91%	9%									
Public Services	21.00	100.0%	0.0%	22.00	100%	0%	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	43.00	100%	0%									
Resources	0.54	0.0%	100.0%	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.54	0%	100%												
SMT Support	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!															
Strategic Management Team	1.50	0.0%	100.0%	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	1.50	0%	100%												
Total working days lost to date:	531.77	83.2%	16.8%	414.00	84%	16%	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	945.77	83%	17%									
- /																					

	Service Unit																					
J		Oct-18	%	%	Nov-18	%	%	Dec-18	%	%	Jan-19	%	%	Feb-19	%	%	Mar-19	%	%	Cum	% age	% age
g		total days	med cert	self cert	total days	med cert	self cert	total days	med cert	self cert	total days	med cert	self cert	total days	med cert	self cert	total days	med cert	self cert	total	Med Cert	Self Cert
ge																						
	Corporate & Cultural Services	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	54.49	88%	12%															
တ	Customer Services	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	137.92	81%	19%															
	Democratic & Legal Services	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	48.41	100%	0%															
	Development Services	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	38.92	67%	33%															
	Environmental Care	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	251.00	70%	30%															
	Housing	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	370.00	91%	9%															
	Public Services	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	43.00	100%	0%															
	Resources	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.54	0%	100%															
	SMT Support	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!															
	Strategic Management Team	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0.00	0%	0%	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	1.50	0%	100%									
	Total working days lost to date:	0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	945.77	83%	17%															




Customer Complaints by Service Area - year to date


Customer Complaints by Category - year to date



Reason for Process Failure/Service Failure Complaints - year to date




Number of Compliments - Year to date













Total Number of MP Queries By Category - year to date



Total FOI requests received by Service Unit - year to date



Summary of Internal Audit Reports Published

Risk rankings definition

There are four categories by which we classify our recommendations. They are defined as follows:

Risk ranking	Assessment rationale
1	The system has been subject to high levels of risk that have, or could, prevent the system from meeting its objectives, and which may also impact on the delivery of one or more of the organisation's strategic objectives.
2	The system has been subject to high levels of risk that have, or could, prevent the system from meeting its objectives, but which are unlikely to impact on any of the organisation's strategic objectives.
3	The system has been subject to medium levels of risk that have, or could, impair the system from meeting its objectives.
4	The system has been subject to low levels of risk that have, or could, reduce its operational effectiveness.

Assurance Levels

There are five categories by which we classify our overall assurance levels. They are defined as follows:

Assurance Level	Assessment rationale
Full	The audit did not highlight any weaknesses that would impact on the achievement of the system's key objectives. It has therefore been concluded that key controls have been adequately designed and are operating effectively to deliver the key objectives of the system.
Significant	The audit did not highlight any weaknesses that would materially impact on the achievement of the system's key objectives. The audit did find some low impact control weaknesses which, if addressed, would improve the overall performance of the system
Moderate	The audit did not highlight any weaknesses that would in overall terms impact on the achievement of the system's key objectives. However, the audit did identify some control weaknesses that have impacted on the delivery of certain system objectives. Action is required to improve controls for these specific system objectives to a level that will enable management to fully rely on all elements of the system.
Limited	The audit highlighted some weaknesses in the design or operation of controls that have had a significant impact on the delivery of key system objectives, but which are unlikely to seriously impact on the delivery of the organisation's strategic objectives. Action is required to improve controls so that management can rely on the system to deliver its key objectives.
No	The audit highlighted weaknesses in the design or operation of controls that have not only had a significant impact on the delivery of key system objectives, but which could also impact on the delivery of the organisation's strategic objectives. Urgent action is required to ensure that the system meets its objectives and that the organisation's strategic objectives are protected from failure to achieve.

PROGRESS AGAINST INTERNAL AUDIT PLAN AS AT 30th JUNE 2018

Description of Audit	Qtr	Planned	Actual to	Current Status	Opinion
	planned	days	date		
Resources		66			
Financial Management & Reporting	3	~			
Finance Systems – IT Security & Access	3	~			
Creditors	3	✓			
Payroll	3	~			
Capital Accounting	3	✓			
Risk Management	4	✓			
Insurance	2	✓	✓	Fieldwork ongoing	
IT audit – Cyber Security Follow Up	3	~			
Commercial Property Management	4	✓			
Procurement	1	✓	✓	Fieldwork complete	
Customer Services		38			
Income & Debtors	3	✓			
Council Tax	3	✓			
Business Rates	3	✓			
Benefits	3	✓			
IT Security & Access – Income & Payment Systems	3	✓			
Anti-Fraud & Corruption	4	\checkmark			
Environmental Care		20			
Health & Safety	1	\checkmark		Draft report	
Shared Service – CBC	3	\checkmark			
Business Continuity	2	✓			
Public Services		17			
Pavilions/Outdoor Sports	4	√			
Crematorium Fees	1	✓	✓	Fieldwork complete	
Democratic & Legal		20			
Member Services (including Mayoral Services)	2	✓	✓	Scope agreed	
Corporate Governance	4	✓			

Description of Audit	Qtr planned	Planned days	Actual to date	Current Status	Opinion
Development Services		10			
Building Control	1	✓	\checkmark	Fieldwork complete	
Housing		53			
Housing Rents	1	✓	✓	Draft report	
Repairs & Voids	3	\checkmark	✓	Scope agreed	
Homelessness	4	✓			
Contract Management – New Build Council Housing	4	✓			
Disabled Facilities Grant	1	✓	\checkmark	Fieldwork complete	
Follow-Up & Recommendation Tracking	All	18	\checkmark		
Management & Advice	All	16	✓		
		258	62		

Kettering Training Services – Performance Update

Table 1: Apprenticeship Success Rates at May 2018

	National Rate 2015-16	KBT 2016-17	KBT 2017-18
Overall Success Rate	67%	84%	83%
Timely Success Rate	59%	78%	71%

Table 2: Study Programme Success Rates May 2018

			Jul-16	Jul-17	May-18
	Study Programme	Starts	58	78	24
		Achievers	30	57	20
Ŋ		Success Rate	52%	73%	83%
Page 14		Completers	47	64	21
		Retention	81%	82%	88%
		Achievement	64%	89%	95%

Table 3: Apprenticeship and Study Programme Starts at May 2018

		May-17	May-18
	16-18	30	14
Apprenticeship	19+	63	21
	Total	93	35
Study Programme	16-18	18	14

KTS Apprenticeship performance is benchmarked against national performance data release at the end of the contract year.

Overall success: % of all KTS Apprenticeship leavers who successfully completed their Apprenticeship.

Timely Success: % of all KTS Apprenticeship leavers who completed their Apprenticeship within the designated time.

NB numbers relate to qualification/learning aims not numbers of learners.

There are three success rates: the Success Rate (achievers vs all starts), the Retention Rate (completers vs all starts) and the Achievement Rate (achievers vs completers).

Number of learners who have started either an Apprenticeship or Foundation Learning programme through KTS this contract year.

Table 4: Apprenticeship and Study Programme 'In Learning' number at May 2018

	May-17	May-18
Apprenticeship	185	129
Study Programme	18	22

Contract years run August - July

Average in Learning: Average number of learners we have in funding at any one time throughout the contract year.

*16-18 year olds Not in Education, Employment or Training

Agency Staffing Summary by Service Area

Service Area	Agency Staff This Month	Agency Staff This Year	2017/18 Expenditure Full Year £000	2018/19 Expenditure (Apr-Jun) £000	2018/19 Expenditure Full Year £000
Corporate & Cultural Services	6	9	202	32	151
Customer Services	3	4	132	21	80
Democratic Services	8	9	116	62	196
Commercial Development	1	1	55	1	6
Public Services	0	0	0	0	0
Resources	3	4	133	22	99
Development Services	1	1	9	8	57
Environmental Care	24	29	366	69	307
Grounds Maintenance	6	9	85	20	55
Waste & Recycling	12	15	178	30	128
Street Cleaning	3	3	21	11	53
Other	3	2	82	8	71
Housing	3	4	218	25	92
HRA - Admin	5	6	278	34	157
HRA - Property	19	24	453	112	567
	72	90	1962	386	1712

Questions raised at Committee on 28th September 2010

Can a year end estimate for the number of affordable homes be included?

Year end estimates for the number of affordable homes expected in the year have also been included.

Questions raised at Committee on 25th September 2012

What do the volume figures mean in the Performance Update?

In response to a member query, volume figures were added to relevant performance indicators in 2011 to give context to the data. Here is the breakdown for what the figures represent for each of the indicators:

- NI 157a Number of major planning applications processed in 13 weeks / Total number of major planning applications received
- NI 157b Number of minor planning applications processed in 8 weeks / Total number of minor planning applications received
- NI 157c Number of other planning applications processed in 8 weeks / Total number of other planning applications received
- LPI 78a Number of days to process new claims / Number of new claims received
- LPI 78b Number of days to process change in circumstances / Number of change of circumstances received
- MPI 8 Number of invoices paid on time / Number of invoices received
- LPI 204 Number of appeals against authority's decision to refuse planning applications / Total number of rejected planning applications

Questions raised at Committee on 3rd November 2015

How is the target for NI 154 calculated?

NI154 is actually an annual figure which is calculated by a physical count of all new houses on each site at year end (March 2016) so there isn't any in year figures to provide for 2015/16.

The council are required to deliver 10,400 homes over a 20 year period between 2011 - 2031, which equates to approximately 520 dwellings per year.

Any shortfall is then required to be built in the next 5 year period, so for 2015/16 in addition to the 520 required there will also be 70 extra homes required to achieve the shortfall.

The 2015/16 data will therefore be available early in 2016/17.

Performance Update

The following indicators have been removed from the performance report as they are no longer collected:

LPI 79a - % Benefits cases processed correctly LPI 71a - The proportion of people paying Council tax by direct debit LPI 71b - The proportion of people paying NNDR by direct debit LPI 2a - Equality Standard for Local Government NI 179 - Value for money - total efficiency gains for the year NI 185 - % year on year reduction of CO² from Local Authority operations NI 188 - Adapting to climate change

Staff Sickness Summary: Issue 46 - June 2012

Following a request at the previous Monitoring & Audit Committee the 'LPI 12 - FTE Days Lost Due to Sickness Absence' and the 'FTE Days Lost Due to Sickness Absence - %age split between medically & self certificated' graphs have been removed.'

Fraud Prosecutions and Sanctions: Issue 50 - April 2013

Fraud Prosecutions and Sanctions has been added to this and future booklets, for member information.

Kettering Borough Training - Performance update: Issue 51 - June 2013

A regular report on the performance of Kettering Borough Training will be included in each edition of the Key Performance Information Booklet.

Kettering Borough Training - Performance update: Issue 55 - April 2014

In this month's performance update, the following information should be considered:

- Table 3 Study Programme replaced Foundation Learning from 1st August 2013.
 New academic year started 1st August 2013 there have been 34 starts so far and no leavers.
- Table 4
 Starts and Average-in-learning comparisons are between Foundation Learning and Study Programme.

Staff Sickness Summary: Issue 55 - April 2014

As of August 2013, the sickness figures for Customer Services and Information Technology have been split to create a separate row for Information Technology. Data from April - July for Customer Services shows combined figures for Customer Services and IT, however separate backdated data for the service areas is unavailable so there are no figures displayed in Information Technology's sickness row. This does not effect the overall Council figures.

Housing Rent Arrears Graphs: Issue 58 - November 2014

The Headline Arrears Performance and the 9 Week Moving Average graphs have now been consolidated into one graph showing all of the data at once.

Fraud Prosecutions and Sanctions: Issue 58 - November 2014

Civil Penalties have been added to the sanctions shown relating to fraud. The values of these are included in the tally for the Value column.

Staff Sickness Summary: Issue 59 - January 2015

Following a request at the previous Monitoring & Audit Committee the 'LPI 12 - FTE Days Lost Due to Sickness Absence' table has been reinstated.

Fraud Prosecutions and Sanctions: Issue 61 - June 2015

The data that was presented in the Fraud Prosecutions and Sanctions section is no longer a function of the Council, and so has been removed. It has been transferred to DWP and therefore is no longer monitored or reported by Kettering Borough Council.

Agency Staffing Summary by Service Area: Issue 62 - September 2015

At Monitoring & Audit Committee Meeting on 23/07/15, members requested additional information on agency expenditure following the Annual Internal Audit Report for 2014/15.

Performance Update: Issue 64 - January 2015

The data for 'LPI 204 - % of appeals against authority's decision to refuse planning applications' has been updated to include the breakdown of the volume.

Performance Update: Issue 73 - November 2017

The cleaner environment PIs have changed from the previous Keep Britain Tidy indicators to new APSE indicators for 2017. The following indicators have been removed from the performance report as they are no longer collected:

NI 195a % of land / highways that have below acceptable levels of litter

NI 195b % of land / highways that have below acceptable levels of detritus

NI 195c % of land / highways that have below acceptable levels of graffiti

NI 195d % of land / highways that have below acceptable levels of fly-posting

They have been replaced by the following APSE indicators:

- PI L02 Percentage of sites classed as acceptable (combined litter and detritus)
- PI L04 Percentage of sites classed as acceptable (litter)
- PI L05 Percentage of sites classed as grade A (fly-tipping)
- PI L02 Percentage of sites classed as acceptable (grounds maintenance)