

Errata sheet – Appendix 3

Village	Page/Section	Error	Correction
Rural Strategy	3 – Policy RS01	In the list of villages 'Geddington' is listed twice. The first entry after Broughton should be removed.	The villages which are designated Category A status are as follows: <i>Ashley, Braybrooke, Broughton, Geddington, Cranford St. Andrew, Cranford St. John, Geddington, Great Cransley, Harrington, Loddington, Mawsley, Pytchley, Rushton, Stoke Albany, Sutton Bassett, Thorpe Malsor, Weston by Welland and Wilbarston.</i>
Ashley	9 (3 rd Para)	1 st sentence refers to Ashley's distance from Desborough measured in kilometres and miles. Correction made to omit 'miles'.	Ashley is located approximately 8.5km <u>miles</u> north of Desborough.....
Ashley	9 (3 rd Para)	5 th line reads 'was been'. Delete 'been'.	Ashley has a Village Design Statement (VDS) which was <u>been</u> adopted by the Council as informal policy in October 2003.
Ashley	11(Policy ASH01)	Under criteria d), 'marks' should read 'mark'.	Maintain the 'soft' edges around the village boundary and avoid new development with high close-boarded fencing or brick walls which marks boundaries with the open countryside or at gateways to the village.
Ashley	11 (Policy ASH01)	Under criteria H), a comma has been omitted between 'sports' and 'open'.	Contribute towards additional outdoor sports, open space and allotments.
Ashley	11 (Policy ASH01)	Under criteria i), the addition of 'to' should be removed from the sentence.	Preserve views out to the open countryside, reflecting <u>to</u> the density, design and layout of existing development in the area.
Ashley	11 (Policy ASH01)	Under criteria J) there is a space after 'I', as well as in other areas of the report.	<u>The space has been deleted in all relevant areas of the report.</u>

Ashley	11 (Policy ASH01)	Under criteria K) the second from last word 'the' should be removed.	Ensure that fenestration is of a high quality and uses natural materials that reflects the historic character of the Ashley.
Ashley	12 (Allotments)	A full stop missing, between 'Ashley' and 'In'.	A site has been identified adjacent to the existing play area located north of 39-41 Main Street which currently sits within open countryside as shown on the Proposals Map for Ashley. In addition to this, any future proposals for allotments sites should be considered against Policy X (Allotment Policy).
Broughton	18 – Options for Growth	At the end of the paragraph 'RA/09aa' should be replaced by 'RA/099a'.	However, subsequent to this it was recommended that a smaller part of RA/099 was to be assessed as considered as a potential housing allocation (RA/099aa).
Broughton	20 – Policy BRT01	There is a space between criterion m) and n).	m) Prioritise conversion of redundant buildings, affordable housing and starter homes. n) Encourage business or commercial development, including cottage industries.
Broughton	21 – Local Green Space	At the end of the paragraph 'policy X (LGS policy)' should be replaced by 'Policy X (LGS policy)'.	In accordance with Policy X (LGS policy) these local green spaces will be preserved to this effect.
Cranford	22 – 1 st paragraph	In the first sentence, there is repetition of 'to the east of Kettering'. This needs deleting.	Located to the east of Kettering, Cranford is a distinctive village to the east of Kettering .
Cranford	22 – 1 st paragraph	The apostrophe should be moved, to read 'The village's street scene'.	The village's streetscene' and character is contributed to greatly by the number of cottages which have traditional stone boundary treatments and consistent depth front gardens.
Cranford	24 – Policy CRA01	Criterion i) removed and added to criterion h)	h) Introduce street treatments and street furniture appropriate to the historic and rural context, <u>for example setts for kerbs and bonded pea shingle for path and road surfaces, and retain and, where necessary, enhance original features such as the water hydrants.</u>

Cranford	25 – Local Green Space	At the end of the paragraph 'policy X (LGS policy)' should be replaced by 'Policy X (LGS policy)'.	In accordance with <u>Policy X</u> (LGS policy) these local green spaces will be preserved to this effect.
Geddington	28 – 31 (GED01-GED04)	There are spaces between policies.	<u>To ensure consistency throughout the report, these have been removed.</u>
Geddington	28 (GED01)	Under criteria (g) 'marks' should read 'mark'	Ensure hedgerows and trees should be used to provide boundaries to gardens to create a soft edge to the village, and avoid new development with high closeboarded fencing or brick walls which mark boundaries with the open countryside or at gateways to the village.
Geddington	29 (Housing Allocations)	1 st Para. A 'f' is omitted between the letters and numbers of RA110.	Sites RA/107 and RA/110 are agreed to be progressed, whilst site RA/109 requires further work to resolve outstanding issues before determining whether it can be progressed further, or discounted.
Geddington	29 (Housing Allocation, Site RA/107)	5 th line refers to 'saw mill', but should read 'sawmill'. Similar amendments are made under RA/110 (p30)	...A large part of the site is undeveloped, covered with trees and rough grass. Access to Geddington Farms and the <u>sawmill</u> / timber sales yard is located along the northern edge of the site....
Geddington	30 (Housing Allocations, RA/110)	2 nd line refers to 'saw mill', but should read 'sawmill'. Insert 'has' before 'formed' on 3 rd line.	The site is located on south east periphery of Geddington adjacent the allotments and the <u>sawmill</u> site (RA/107). The site is located on an abandoned former garden nursery site, which is now significantly overgrown and <u>has</u> formed a semi-natural habitat.

Geddington	30 (GED04)	Under criteria (c) 'is provided' should be removed.	Be supported by a comprehensive landscape scheme which retains and enhances the existing tree belt enclosing the eastern and southern boundary (protected by Tree Preservation Order), as well as other mature trees and hedgerows located elsewhere within the site, <u>is provided</u> .
Geddington	30 (GED04)	Under criteria (d) 'is provided' should be removed.	Be supported by a scheme to provide good footpath links to the centre of the village <u>is provided</u> , which may include a pedestrian link bridge to adjacent playing fields/recreation park to the west of the site (over the River Ise).
Grafton Underwood	34 (GRA01)	Under criteria (h), 'marks' should read 'mark'.	Maintain the 'soft' edges around the village boundary and avoid new development with high close-boarded fencing or brick walls which mark boundaries with the open countryside or at gateways to the village.
Great Cransley	38 – Policy GRC01	Criteria d), e) and f) should be changed to read as sub-criteria under criterion c) as i), ii) and iii). There should be the addition of ‘:’ after criterion c) also.	<p>c) Development proposals within or closely related to the historic core should:</p> <p><u>i)</u> Front directly onto the street or where buildings are set back stone walls should be used to continue the built form creating a good sense of enclosure.</p> <p><u>ii)</u> Not result in the loss of mature trees or hedgerows which are an important part of the character of this area.</p> <p><u>iii)</u> Maintain the informal nature of streets.</p>
Great Cransley	38 – Housing Allocations (RA/146)	The first sentence of this paragraph needs a full stop after 'settlement boundary'.	Located adjacent to and opposite existing residential development on Loddington Road, this linear site is located outside the settlement boundary. At present.....
Harrington	39 – 1 st paragraph	In the third sentence there is a space between 'building' and 's' which needs to be removed.	Harrington is an attractive, linear settlement comprising mostly of limestone buildings set behind historic stone boundary walls or stone and brick buildings which about the public highway.
Loddington	47 (LOD01)	'Development in Loddington will' is missing at the start of the policy	Policy LOD01 – Loddington Development Principles
			<u>Development in Loddington will'</u> : Use high quality natural materials which respond to the local vernacular in order to preserve.....

Loddington	48 (LOD01)	Under criteria (i), a space should be omitted between '-' and 'road' with respect of 'off-road'	Contribute towards footpath improvements links to the east towards Thorpe Malsor and a direct off-road link to Kettering.
Little Oakley	42 – 1 st paragraph	In the third sentence the word 'if' should be replaced with 'of'.	Although the settlement is linear there is a mixture of properties some of which front directly onto the street and others which are set in large plots, set back from the public highway.
Mawsley	50 – 1 st paragraph	In the fifth sentence the words 'as a discounted site' should be removed.	RA/174 was identified in the Housing Allocations - Assessment of Additional Sites and Update consultation as a potential housing option and RA/115 was discounted <u>as a discounted site</u> .
Mawsley	51 – 2 nd paragraph	In the third sentence the word 'build' should be replaced with 'built'.	It will be important that built development does not extend beyond the north western extent of existing built form on Cransley Rise and Birch Spinney.
Newton	54 – 2 nd paragraph	A full stop is required after Geddington.	The Dovecote Buttery, which includes a farm shop and café, is the only facility of note in the village, other facilities can be found at the nearest village, Geddington.
Pytchley	57 – Options for Growth	In the first line, Broughton needs to be replaced by Pytchley.	Two different options for growth in <u>Broughton Pytchley</u> were previously identified and consulted upon at the Option Stage of the plan.
Stoke Albany	64 – Options for Growth	In the first line, 'Cranford' needs to be replaced by 'Stoke Albany'.	Two different options for growth in <u>Cranford-Stoke Albany</u> were previously identified and consulted upon at the Option Stage of the plan.
Stoke Albany	64 – Options for Growth	In the last line, 'Rushton' needs to be replaced by 'Stoke Albany'.	Stoke Albany is identified as a Category A village. Development in <u>Rushton Stoke Albany</u> will be limited to that set out in policy RS01 (Category A Villages).
Sutton Bassett	67 (SUT01)	'...' is stated at the end of criteria (a). This will be changed to a full stop.	Follow the linear, ribbon development form of the village with buildings almost exclusively fronting onto or facing Main Street. Any infill development will continue this character with buildings orientated towards Main Street and new dwellings in rear gardens will not be permitted. ²⁷
Weston by Welland	78 – 5 th paragraph	The addition of 'Area' after Conservation area is required.	The VDS has been used to inform the preparation of this document. Weston by Welland also has a designated Conservation <u>Area</u> , the appraisal of which was adopted in February 1984.

Weston by Welland	79 – Options for Growth	In the first line, 'Cranford' needs to be replaced by 'Weston by Welland'.	Two different options for growth in <u>Cranford Weston by Welland</u> were previously identified and consulted upon at the Option Stage of the plan.
Weston by Welland	79 – Options for Growth	The references made to 'site RA/146' require changing to 'RA/136'.	Through further consultation in the Housing Allocations - Assessment of Additional Sites and Update, site <u>RA/446 RA/136</u> remained as a potential housing allocation. It was subsequently agreed that site <u>RA/446 RA/136</u> be designated as a draft housing allocation.
Weston by Welland	79 – Development Principles	At the end of the first line 'Broughton' needs to be replaced by 'Weston by Welland'.	There are a number of design principles which the 'Rural Masterplanning Report' (2012) considered important for any new development which may occur in the future in <u>Broughton Weston by Welland</u> .
Wilbarston	83 – Options for Growth	In the first line, 'Cranford' needs to be replaced by 'Wilbarston'.	Two different options for growth in <u>Cranford-Wilbarston</u> were previously identified and consulted upon at the Option Stage of the plan.
Wilbarston	83 – Options for Growth	In the second line, 'Stoke Albany' needs to be replaced by 'Wilbarston'.	The second option for <u>Stoke-Albany Wilbarston</u> is to allow some small scale growth outside the settlement boundary for affordable housing, as identified by the <u>Wilbarston Housing Needs Survey 2010</u> and one site, RA/172, was identified to meet this need.
Wilbarston	83 – Development Principles	At the end of the first line 'Broughton' needs to be replaced by 'Wilbarston'.	There are a number of design principles which the 'Rural Masterplanning Report' (2012) considered important for any new development which may occur in the future in <u>Broughton Wilbarston</u> .
Wilbarston	84 – Allotments	In the first line 'Rushton' needs to be replaced by 'Wilbarston'.	There is no identified need for allotment provision in <u>Rushton Wilbarston</u> .