

## Comments

### Site Specific Part 2 Local Plan - Main Modifications Consultation (19/03/21 to 30/04/21)

Comment ID	70
Response Date	30/04/21 10:31
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

Please use a separate form for each representation.

#### Which Main Modification, change to the Policies Map or section of the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum or Habitat Regulations Assessment Addendum does your comment relate to?

1. Categorisation of Ashley as Category A instead of Category B as it should be - for the following reasons: I am a resident of Ashley village. I am very interested in what happens in our village and surrounding areas. I keep up to date with what happened to the Site Specific Plan for KBC over the years so I'm surprised the changes/modifications are being consulted upon. My view is that a Category B would be in keeping with the historic background and history of the area. This includes architecture and special features. Please can the council look again at the categorisation for the following reasons 2. 'Meeting House field' as it is historically known, is crossed by the main footpath from Ashley towards the Fiveways junction of the major regional footpaths. A recent survey showed an average of 40 people daily walking the path, and enjoying this space. Natural habitat for owls and deer. It is also the habitat of numerous birds and varied wild life. It is sn the main apituated on the approach from Stoke Albany and this space is crucial to the setting and views of the village from several footpaths and the western gateway road. Meeting House field has been fully used by the community for hundreds of years, and it deserves the maximum protection. The designation of LGS will reflect the views of the community and I urge the inspector and council to reconsider. 3. Decisions and planning policies should protect and enhance public rights of way and access, including taking opportunities to provide better facilities for users, for example by adding links to existing rights of way networks including National Trails. 4. Protection for land as Local Green Space through local and neighbourhood plans allows communities to identify and protect green areas of particular importance to them. Designating land as Local Green Space should be consistent with the local planning of sustainable development and complement investment in sufficient homes, jobs and other essential services. Local Green Spaces should only be designated when a plan is prepared or updated, and be capable of enduring beyond the end of the plan period. 5. Designation of The Local Green Space should only be used where the green space is: i) in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves; ii) demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife; and iii) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land. Managing development policies within a Local Green Space should be consistent with those for Green Belts. I urge the council to reconsider their position on this very important point. xxxxxxxx ReplyForward

Please use a separate form for each representation.

#### Representation

1. Categorisation of Ashley as Category A instead of Category B as it should be - for the following reasons: I am a resident of Ashley village. I am very interested in what happens in our village and surrounding areas. I keep up to date with what happened to the Site Specific Plan for KBC over the years so I'm surprised the changes/modifications are being consulted upon. My view is that a Category B would be in keeping with the historic background and history of the area. This includes architecture and special features. Please can the council look again at the categorisation for the following reasons:

2. 'Meeting House field' as it is historically known, is crossed by the main footpath from Ashley towards the Fiveways junction of the major regional footpaths. A recent survey showed an average of 40 people daily walking the path, and enjoying this space. Natural habitat for owls and deer. It is also the habitat of numerous birds and varied wild life. It is on the main approach on the approach from Stoke Albany and this space is crucial to the setting and views of the village from several footpaths and the western gateway road. Meeting House field has been fully used by the community for hundreds of years, and it deserves the maximum protection. The designation of LGS will reflect the views of the community and I urge the inspector and council to reconsider.

3. Decisions and planning policies should protect and enhance public rights of way and access, including taking opportunities to provide better facilities for users, for example by adding links to existing rights of way networks including National Trails.

4. Protection for land as Local Green Space through local and neighbourhood plans allows communities to identify and protect green areas of particular importance to them. Designating land as Local Green Space should be consistent with the local planning of sustainable development and complement investment in sufficient homes, jobs and other essential services. Local Green Spaces should only be designated when a plan is prepared or updated, and be capable of enduring beyond the end of the plan period.

5. Designation of The Local Green Space should only be used where the green space is: i) in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves; ii) demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife; and iii) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.

Managing development policies within a Local Green Space should be consistent with those for Green Belts. I urge the council to reconsider their position on this very important point.