

Comments

Site Specific Part 2 Local Plan - Main Modifications Consultation (19/03/21 to 30/04/21)

| | |
|-----------------|----------------|
| Comment ID | 25 |
| Response Date | 26/04/21 13:45 |
| Status | Processed |
| Submission Type | Email |
| Version | 0.2 |

Please use a separate form for each representation.

Which Main Modification, change to the Policies Map or section of the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum or Habitat Regulations Assessment Addendum does your comment relate to?

Main Modifications Loddington HV1027 and HV1028

Please use a separate form for each representation.

Representation

As a resident of Loddington I feel, as do many others who live in villages that everything must be done to protect open spaces everywhere, not just our village.

Having read KBCs original report then the inspectorates comments regarding his proposal to remove the current listing from "Historical and Visually important Green Space" due to lack of evidence to support it I believe the question should be asked as "why would you want to change the designation" and remove this layer of protection against development that it currently enjoys? This to me is more relevant.

HV1027 and HV1028 are exceptionally important open spaces and are essential to the open feel to the core of the village. The farm buildings and land surrounding HV1028 have already been developed previously and to potentially allow the floodgates to open for successful consideration to develop further will seriously effect the landscape, surroundings, well being of the village and add pressure to the amenities in what is a very small village.

HV1027 without doubt should retain the designation as the views over the medieval church of St Leonards and its sacred burial grounds deserve utmost protection without exception. Notwithstanding that at some point there will be need from Peterborough Diocese to extend the burial ground the views that this site commands are simply stunning which the whole village and those travelling through it benefit from.

This is not a case of a "No Development in our Back Yard" throw away comment. The village has had its share of development and the village is really at capacity, this is simply that the village has two very important spaces left and for good reasons so they deserve to be protected not just for those living in the village today but for those future residents and the many who visit and will continue to visit in the future.

I hope the inspectorate gives proper consideration and makes the right decision to retain the current designation which will help protect the village for us and future generations.