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Tests of Soundness

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound in terms of being:

- Justified
- Effective
- Positively prepared
- Consistent with National Policy

Reasons

Please give the reason(s) why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the statutory Duty to Cooperate.

I write to say that I think that the Cransley and Thorpe Malsor reservoirs should remain protected as they were under Policy10 of the 1995 Local Plan. The two reservoirs provide a wildlife resource for both indigenous birds and migrating wildfowl. The reservoirs provide beauty spots close enough to Kettering to be enjoyed by local people who are interest in wildlife or just prefer to enjoy some peace and quiet in a rural setting. We are being encouraged not to use vehicles with all their emissions needlessly and it is quite a long journey to access other wildfowl area s. The Policy 10 has worked well in the past and I request that it is kept for the two reservoirs for the future so that there are still some places kept for nature after all the very extensive house building has taken place. The NEH2 does not allow for any long term preservation of natural spaces for both humans and nature’s own.

Notifications

Do you wish to be notified?