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Tests of Soundness

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound in terms of being:

Justified
Effective
Positively prepared
Consistent with National Policy

Reasons

Please give the reason(s) why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the statutory Duty to Cooperate.

I am writing to express my concern at the possible dilution/removal of Policy 10.

It is difficult enough for wildlife with developments being built in rural areas, but to allow this around areas where water is available for their survival and habitat is incomprehensible. It will deter them from going there with people and lots of activity. We should be preserving our countryside, especially around reservoirs, not soften the Planning rules to enable our countryside to disappear in years to come. What are we doing to our countryside?

Please consider keeping Policy 10 as is. Let's keep our wildlife visiting us and enjoying their presence.

Notifications

Do you wish to be notified?
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