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Representation

Please use a separate form for each representation.

Which part of the Publication Plan does your representation relate to?

8. Natural Environment and Heritage

Tests of Soundness

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound in terms of being:

Justified
Effective
Positively prepared
Consistent with National Policy

Reasons

Please give the reason(s) why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the statutory Duty to Cooperate.

I assume that you are ware that there is an illegal occupation at Cransley Road, adjacent to the reservoir, that is grinding it way through ongoing planning applications, appeals etc. With this ongoing activity I am absolutely stunned that there are proposed revisions to Policy 10 that weakens it protection to the wildlife and areas of Thorpe and Cransley reservoirs. These protections have worked for many years and is demonstrated by the fact that wildlife has flourished, I would have expected any proposed revisions to enhance and strengthen Policy 10 not weaken them.

With the ongoing illegal occupation I find it remarkable that Kettering BC would even entertain, let alone action, weakening Policy 10.

Notifications
Do you wish to be notified?