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Tests of Soundness

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound in terms of being:

- Justified . No
- Effective . No
- Positively prepared . No
- Consistent with National Policy . No

Legal and Procedural requirements

Do you consider the Local Plan has been prepared in line with legal procedural requirements? No

Duty to Cooperate

Do you consider the Local Plan to be compliant with the Duty to Cooperate? No

Reasons

Please give the reason(s) why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the statutory Duty to Cooperate.

4.3.1 Similar to the concerns raised in response to Policy LOC1 above, Policy HOU1 only relates to windfall and infill development within settlement boundaries and is silent on what forms of development would be considered acceptable beyond these artificial limits.

Proposed Actions/Changes
Please explain what changes or actions are needed to make the Local Plan legally compliant.

4.3.2 Gladman reiterate the concerns already raised and consider additional flexibility should be provided by the SPP2 to allow consideration of sustainable development opportunities across the Borough that are located outside of settlement boundaries but are well related to settlement.

Attendance at the examinations hearings

If you are seeking to change the Plan, would you like to attend the examination hearings?  Yes

Notifications

Do you wish to be notified?  When the Plan is submitted for independent examination?  When the Inspector’s Report is published?  When the document is adopted?