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Representation

Please use a separate form for each representation.

Which part of the Publication Plan does your representation relate to?

8. Natural Environment and Heritage

Tests of Soundness

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound in terms of being:

Justified
Effective
Positively prepared
Consistent with National Policy

Reasons

Please give the reason(s) why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the statutory Duty to Cooperate.

I have just become aware of the above and with some surprise, given that you must be aware of the illegal occupation adjacent to the reservoir and the ongoing planning applications appeals etc. Surely this consultation should have been brought to the notice of the Parish Councils concerned? I apologise if this did happen. And given the above, it would be entirely inappropriate and premature to consider any interference or change to policy 10 and the protection it affords the disputed areas. As to the proposed revisions, I object most strongly to any weakening of the protections policy 10 provides to the wildlife and areas of Cransley and Thorpe reservoirs. In particular interfering with the wildlife corridor from Mawsley Marsh to Cransley and Thorpe Reservoirs would have a devastating effect on the wildlife In this area and altering the ecosystem permanently. Those protections were put
in place in 1995. As a result wildlife have flourished there so that there is now a greater need for those protections not a lesser one.
Please ensure that interested parties are kept informed.
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Do you wish to be notified?