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Representation
Please use a separate form for each representation.

Which part of the Publication Plan does your representation relate to?
Policy NEH1

Tests of Soundness
Do you consider the Local Plan is sound in terms of being:

Justified
Effective
Positively prepared
Consistent with National Policy

Reasons

Please give the reason(s) why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the statutory Duty to Cooperate.

We are pleased that a section on flood risk and sustainable water management has been included, as well as policy NEH1.

Proposed Actions/Changes

Please explain what changes or actions are needed to make the Local Plan legally compliant.

However within Q4 paragraph 2 of our 27 July 2018 response we feel our following advice still needs to be included: “We advise that to ensure the plan is sound and compliant with para’s 20, 171 and 175c of the revised NPPF that wording is included in the SSP2 that: Ensures development firstly avoids
impacts on designated sites, and that the policy follows the avoid, mitigate, compensate hierarchy: protects and enhances the River Ise and Meadows SSSI from adverse impacts (including direct and indirect impact pathways of development). In particular, Natural England would support a policy within SSP2 which states that increased sediment[and nutrient] loading upstream of River Ise and Meadows SSSI will be avoided.”

Notifications

Do you wish to be notified?