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Tests of Soundness

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound in terms of being:

- Justified
- Effective
- Positively prepared
- Consistent with National Policy

Reasons

Please give the reason(s) why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the statutory Duty to Cooperate.

We appreciate that, regarding DE/210, Policy DES5 still includes a requirement for a strategy to manage Tailby Meadow Nature Reserve for the long-term. However, we advise that Policy DES5 requires the Council to ensure that a suitably worded condition is provided, when full permission is granted, for a Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP). This is to ensure measures to prevent sediment pollution in the River Ise are detailed and then delivered.

We advised within our 27 July 2018 letter that priority habitats should be identified within your plan. We note that the MG4 grassland i.e. flood plain meadow associated with Tailby Meadow is not identified within the Plan, which is disappointing. The importance of this grassland deserves recognition in your plan, especially because there less than 1500ha of it remaining in the whole of England. Regarding DE/210, section 11.3.90 of the SA states that “effects ought to be well known and possible to mitigate”. Therefore, it is critical that the LPP2 does consider the importance of MG4, and provide a requirement for a CEMP.

Notifications

Do you wish to be notified?