Comments

Site Specific Part 2 Local Plan - Publication Plan Consultation (18/12/19 to 12/02/20)

Comment ID 60
Response Date 11/02/20 21:13
Consultation Point Policy MAW2 Land to the West of Mawsley (View)
Status Processed
Submission Type Web
Version 0.1

Representation
Please use a separate form for each representation.

Which part of the Publication Plan does your representation relate to?
MAW2

Tests of Soundness
Do you consider the Local Plan is sound in terms of being:

- Justified . No
- Effective . No
- Positively prepared . No
- Consistent with National Policy . No

Legal and Procedural requirements

Do you consider the Local Plan has been prepared in line with legal procedural requirements? No

Duty to Cooperate

Do you consider the Local Plan to be compliant with the Duty to Cooperate? No

Reasons

Please give the reason(s) why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the statutory Duty to Cooperate.
Access to the site off Cransley Rise is restricted and on a blind bend. The site is constantly flooded during heavy rainfall and has been underwater since October 2019. With the current climate changing to warmer/wetter winters, this is only going to make matters worse. How will this impact on the existing housing surrounding the site if water is to be channelled elsewhere?

More houses will mean more people in the village with more cars on already congested roads. Cars are already parking in alternative streets to where the occupants live.

Village only accessible by the C31. This is not designed for any additional traffic.

Secondary schools are at capacity with children from the village not be able to get into their nearest Kettering school as considered too far away for placement.

Medical centre is operating at its limit.

Primary is already extended to its maximum capacity.

Lots of children already in the village. Additional traffic will jeopardise safety.

Restricted access on a blind bend to accommodate any large site construction vehicles.

The village is only accessible by car now. The bus service has been cut to a bare minimum and cannot offer a mode of transport for residents reliant on the bus to take them to and from work. This is the same for school children who would rely on a public bus service to get them to and from school.

A proposal for 29 retirement flats was rejected. Why would you propose 50 new houses yet reject retirement flats.

During the previous consultations there was a concern around the capacity of the existing sewage system. Nothing has changed so why is this being considered again?

**Proposed Actions/Changes**

**Please explain what changes or actions are needed to make the Local Plan legally compliant.**

Do not build any more houses in Mawsley. It is already over the original allocated numbers and it has not been designed to accommodate anymore.

To summarise I am strongly objecting to this plan and believe that development should take place in deprived town centres rather than on green countryside land that is currently used for effective food production from farming.

**Attendance at the examincations hearings**

If you are seeking to change the Plan, would you like to attend the examination hearings? Yes

If Yes, please outline the reason(s) why, below.

I would like my views on this to be heard face to face by those proposing the development as it appears that all previous objections to this proposal have been ignored or totally discounted. WHY?

**Notifications**

Do you wish to be notified?

. When the Plan is submitted for independent examination?
. When the Inspector's Report is published?
. When the document is adopted?