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Representation
Please use a separate form for each representation.

Which part of the Publication Plan does your representation relate to?
Section 18, Appendix 3 Policies Maps & also Section 13 for Great Cransley, Conservation area

Tests of Soundness
Do you consider the Local Plan is sound in terms of being:

- Justified . No
- Effective . No
- Positively prepared . No
- Consistent with National Policy . No

Legal and Procedural requirements

Do you consider the Local Plan has been prepared in line with legal procedural requirements? Yes

Duty to Cooperate

Do you consider the Local Plan to be compliant with the Duty to Cooperate? Yes

Reasons

Please give the reason(s) why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the statutory Duty to Cooperate.
Para 13.81 at page 131 makes brief mention of the Great Cransley Conservation area, quote; “The Great Cransley Conservation Area Appraisal was adopted in May 1984”, but is not mentioned anywhere else in the SSP2. Neither is there any description of what Conservation Area status means for Great Cransley, particularly with regards to any additional level of protection afforded.

The Great Cransley Proposal Map is shown at Figure 18.16, page 198. This map shows the proposed settlement boundary but without showing the conservation area boundary. This contrasts with the current Local Plan dated 1995 where the proposal map for Great Cransley shows both the settlement boundary and the conservation area boundary.

Proposed Actions/Changes

Please explain what changes or actions are needed to make the Local Plan legally compliant.

Since the 1995 Local Plan will be replaced by the new Local Plan, it is important that the level of protection afforded to the conservation area is given equal visibility in the SSP2 at Section 13 and importantly, that the boundary map is retained at Appendix 3. This is a serious omission from the SSP2.

Attendance at the examinations hearings

If you are seeking to change the Plan, would you like to attend the examination hearings? No

Notifications

Do you wish to be notified? When the Plan is submitted for independent examination? When the Inspector’s Report is published? When the document is adopted?