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Representation
Please use a separate form for each representation.

Which part of the Publication Plan does your representation relate to?

MAW2

Tests of Soundness

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound in terms of being:

- Justified . No
- Effective . No
- Positively prepared . No

Consistent with National Policy

Reasons

Please give the reason(s) why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the statutory Duty to Cooperate.

I would like to express my strong objection to the proposal to build additional homes in Mawsley. My concerns about this proposal are: * The access point would be totally unsuitable - Cransley Rise is too narrow for the volume of traffic that would result from all those extra homes. Plus, the access point would be on a sharp bend so very dangerous. * Everyone living in Mawsley needs a car. The bus service is now very minimal and cannot be relied upon. My husband and I never intended to have two cars, but realised we would have no choice after living here for only two months. In that time my husband had had to use taxis to get to work because there was no bus anywhere near the time required (even standard office hours)... and that was 5 years ago when the service was much better than it is now! * Many residents have pretty much lived on a building site for over ten years - at least give us a break while the village settles! Surely no new homes should be built while there are still unadopted roads and drains throughout the village? * Mawsley School has already been extended several times...
and it would not be possible to do so again. From what I understand, other schools in the area are also at capacity. A friend of mine wanted to move to Mawsley last year but could not get her three sons into the school, so had to move elsewhere. 50 houses could result in a very large number of extra children and we just cannot accommodate them all! * The proposed site is just one field away from Birch Spinney and Mawsley Marsh SSSI. The significant disruption to the area will of course have a negative impact on wildlife in the area and this should not be allowed to happen. * The area in question regularly floods during periods of high rainfall. * Mawsley is far larger than originally intended (by more than 200 homes). Plus, Colin Clayson is planning to build 29 retirement flats near the village centre, so that too should be considered a contribution to the housing "deficit" in the area. With both of these points considered, I think it's fair to say that Mawsley has contributed far, far more than its fair share!
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