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Representation

Please use a separate form for each representation.

Which part of the Publication Plan does your representation relate to?

Policy MAW2

Tests of Soundness

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound in terms of being:

Justified
Effective
Positively prepared
Consistent with National Policy

Reasons

Please give the reason(s) why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the statutory Duty to Cooperate.

I am resident on Cransley Rise.
Any construction in the field about 6 houses down from me is going to significantly increase traffic flow and related pollution.
My house is on the roadside and already it is noisy.
With a further 50 houses, usually 2 car families plus fast driving delivery lorries at all hours of the day and evening infringe of my house, this is a huge increase in vehicle numbers.

I understand Cransley Rise will be the only access road to the site. This is an issue not only through the building process but long term.
Child safety with increased traffic is also a concern.

If the field is developed, the following must be a focus:

**Speed bumps**
Second entry/exit route - certainly needed for building traffic as Cransley Rise is too narrow with cars parked in front of houses on both sides. Lorries just will not get past.

**Continuation of village bike track around site**

**Trees and green spaces**
Look and feel same as houses on Cransley Rise. We don’t want it looking like the other end of the village, must retain characterful properties in different stone/brick of varied sizes, paths, verges, trees etc.

**Bungalows for purchase required.** Ageing residents that are home owners not housing association need bungalow options for the future. 1, 2 and 3 bed options with gardens south facing. Let’s at least try to offer something positive out of this if it goes ahead.

Questions that the chap in the consultation couldn’t answer;

Last time this site was rejected for development it was due to lack of sewage capacity, so what changed and when?

If it’s 40% social housing what is the definition between affordable housing and housing association?

How best we can ensure villagers views as a whole get represented eg via parish council?

How will large building equipment get down Cransley Rise with island in middle and cars each side? Not enough space?

Notifications

**Do you wish to be notified?**